

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/837,988 | | | 9281-3982 | 8875 |
| 757 . 75 | | | EXAMINER | |
| BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE | | | ANYASO, UCHENDU O | |
| P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60611 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2675 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | 09/837,988 | MASUDAYA, HIDEKI | |
|---|--|---|--|
| · | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Uchendu O Anyaso | 2675 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet with the | e correspondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2003 FAILS TO PITHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required to inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 | o avoid abandonment of this appl : (1) a timely filed amendment wh beal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim | ication. A proper reply to a ich places the application in | |
| PERIOD FOR | REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration dat 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See | his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for pire later than SIX MONTHS from the main NAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 and of extension and the corresponding and the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for reporting later than three months after the name of the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory peri | ling date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension mount of the fee. The appropriate extension bly originally set in the final Office action; or | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 | CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere | d because: | | |
| (a) Methey raise new issues that would require fu | rther consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No | te below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or | on in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or simplifying the | |
| (d) they present additional claims without car | celing a corresponding number o | f finally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re | jection(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | uld be allowable if submitted in a | separate, timely filed amendment | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because | | nsidered but does NOT place the | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | pecause it is not directed SOLEL | Y to issues which were newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmexplanation of how the new or amended claim | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow | vs: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . | | 7 | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | // / | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) | | the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State | | <u>lu</u> | |
| 10. Other: | STEVEN SARA | Š | |
| | SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTE | EXAMINER | |
| | | | |





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant amended independent claims 1, 3, 9 and 11. These amendments present a burden to the Examiner because they were not previously presented and they require further consideration and/or search to determine patentability.